

A

4409 ff 44  
1816

# LETTER

From the Rev. Mr *White-*  
*field* from Georgia, to a  
Friend in *London*, shewing  
the

Fundamental *ERROR*

OF A

BOOK,

ENTITULED

*The Whole Duty of Man.*



---

CHARLES-TOWN, Printed by *Peter Timothy*  
1740.



*A Letter from the Rev. Mr. Whitefield, to  
a Friend in London, shewing the Funda-  
mental Error of a Book, entituled, The  
Whole Duty of Man.*

*My dear Friend,*

SINCE it has pleased God to give me a true Knowledge of the Doctrines of Grace, I have frequently thought, that next to the falling away of the Clergy from the Principles of the Reformation, the Books which are in our Church founded on the *Arminian* Scheme, have been the chief Cause why so many of our own Communion in particular, have built their Hopes of Salvation on a false Bottom. The Authors not only led the People Captive in their own Life Time, but also after their Death, like *Simon Magus*, for a long Season have bewitched the People with their Sorceries; I mean their seemingly devout, but at the Bottom Anti-Christian, Compositions.

The only Way therefore, to open People's Eyes, I think is this: To shew them that the Writings which for some Years past, have been so much admired, are directly contrary to the Gospel of *Jesus Christ*, for this Reason, I thought it my Duty, to bear a publick Testimony against the Writings of Archbishop *Tilletson*;



And upon the same Account, think it my Duty, to point out the Fundamental Error of an Author as much admired and read by the more common, as the Archbishop by the more learned and polite, sort of People.

I know you are impatient to hear, who this can be: If you will promise me not to be angry, I'll tell you; it is that much admir'd Book called the **WHOLE DUTY OF MAN**. Methinks, I see you surprized at the very mentioning of it. But remember, I desir'd you not to be angry; and if you will throw aside Prejudice, and are sincerely desirous to know and do the divine Will, I am persuaded we shall be both of one Mind concerning this Book, ere you lay this Letter down out of your Hand.

But before I shew you its Error, to convince you of my Impartiality, I confess, that the Devotions of that Book, were once of Service to me: And I believe have been useful to many others. The Book in general, is calculated to *civilize*, but I am persuaded it never was a Means of *converting* one single Soul. I have just been looking over the Index and general Titles at the End of it, and cannot find the Word *Regeneration* so much as once mentioned; and indeed the whole Treatise is built on such a false Foundation, as not only proves the Author to be no real Christian at Heart: But also

also, that he had not so much as a Head-Knowledge of the true Gospel of Jesus Christ. To prove this, I need only refer you to part of the Preface, *Of the Necessity of caring for the Soul*, (17th Paragraph) wherein the Author talking of the second Covenant speaksthus: *This second Covenant, says he, was made with Adam and us in him, presently after his Fall, and is briefly contain'd in those Words, Gen. 3d, 15th, where God declares, that the Seed of the Woman shall break the Serpent's Head; and this was made up as the First was, of some Mercies to be afforded by God, and some Duties to be performed by us.* Who, that is any Way enlighten'd, cannot see the false Divinity and Fundamental Errors of this Passage? For how can it be proved, that the second Covenant was made with *Adam*; or that God ever entered into any Covenant at all with Man after he had broken the First? It is true, he did enter into a Covenant, (and that from all Eternity,) with the second *Adam*, the God-Man *Christ Jesus*, in our Stead. But it cannot be proved that he made any second Covenant at all with *Adam* himself, or any of his Posterity. But says our Author; '*He did make a second Covenant with Adam, and us in him, presently after his Fall, and it is briefly contained in these Words, Gen. 3d. & 15th, where*

• where God declares, that the Seed of the  
 • Woman shall break the Serpent's Head. But  
 I would ask, where any Covenant is contained  
 in these Words? And, how it is made up as  
 the first was, of some Mercies to be afforded  
 by God, and some Duties to be performed by  
 us? Here is a free Gift and Promise of Salva-  
 tion made to Adam, but no Covenant,  
 not a Word of any Condition mentioned. No,  
 it was the free Gift of God in Christ. Adam  
 was now in a State of Condemnation, he was  
 so far from being desirous of entering into Co-  
 venant with, that he fled from God, and was  
 not so much as truly convicted of his Sin; as  
 will evidently appear from the Context. For,  
 both he and his Wife laid the Fault not upon  
 themselves, but one another and the Serpent.  
 The Woman which thou gavest me, (therein  
 tacitly reflecting upon God, for giving him  
 that Woman) she gave me, says Adam, and I  
 did eat. Here appears no true Sense of Sin at  
 all: and to shew they had no Notion of a Sa-  
 viour, we are told they sewed Fig Leaves to-  
 gether, instead of applying to God, to cover  
 their Nakedness; and afterwards fled from God,  
 when they heard the Voice of the Lord God  
 walking among the Trees in the Garden. Here  
 then opens the Mystery of Godliness, God ma-  
 nifest in the Flesh. Notwithstanding our first  
 Parents



Parents had broken the Covenant, and had their Hearts hardened; yet, out of the Riches of his *free Grace*, God had provided a Remedy from all Eternity, and now reveals it in Time. *The Seed of this Woman*, i. e. *Jesus Christ*, (who in all Probability was spiritually conceived that Instant in the Heart of *Eve*) *shall bruise the Serpent's Head*, i. e. by his Obedience and Death, shall satisfy divine Justice, fulfill the moral *Law* in Man's Stead, and thereby deliver him from the Power of the Devil. Here are no *Terms* mentioned, on Man's Part at all. And supposing there had been a Covenant made with Man, ' *And it had been made up as the first was, of some Mercies to be afforded by God, and some Duties to be performed by us* : Alas, we are of all Creatures the most miserable; for then we are not under *Grace*, but under the *Law*. And if *Adam* could not keep the *first* Covenant, tho' in perfect Innocence; how can we, who hate God by Nature, and whose Thoughts are only evil continually, keep a *second* like unto it? Is not this putting Man into a most unhappy Condition, and making his poor imperfect Obedience the *Cause* why *Jesus Christ* is merciful unto him? and if so, how is boasting excluded in the great Work of our Redemption, as the Scriptures every where affirm? And how can *Eternal*  
Life

Life be the *free Gift* of God, thro' *Jesus Christ* our Lord? But this is agreeable enough to the Divinity of this Author, who in the Twenty-first Paragraph of his Preface says; *The Third Thing that Christ was to do for us, was, to enable us, or give us Strength, to do what God requires of us. This he doth, first by taking off from the Hardness of the Law given to Adam, which was, never to commit the least Sin upon Pain of Damnation, and requiring of us only an holy and hearty Endeavour to do what we are able, and where we fail accepting of sincere Repentance.* Now, I would fain know, where God has taken off from the Hardness of the Law given unto *Adam*? And required of us only an holy and hearty Endeavour to do what we are able? And, when we fail, accepting of sincere Repentance? This is all as false, as God is true; the whole Law is as much, in Force against *us*, as it was against *Adam*, before we are found in *Christ*. God has been so far from taking off from the Hardness of the Law given to *Adam*, that *Jesus Christ* declares, that he came not to *destroy*, but to *fulfil* it. And, until convinc'd of the Obligation we lie under to obey the whole moral Law, in Thought, Word, and Deed, how can we see the Necessity of the Righteousness of *Jesus Christ*? God's Law was honourable, *Je-*

*sus*

*X*



*Jesus Christ* fulfilled it in our Stead, and upon Account of that Righteousness *imputed* to us, and not on our hearty Endeavours or Repentance, are we accepted by him. What is there in our hearty Endeavour or Repentance, to recommend us to the Favour of God, or render them worthy of being *joined* with the Righteousness of *Christ*, as tho' *that* was not sufficient in *itself*? Our best Actions are but *Splendida peccata*, glittering Sins. I cannot pray, says the pious Bishop *Beveridge*, but I Sin; I cannot bear or preach a Sermon, but I Sin; I cannot give an Alms, or receive the Sacrament, but I Sin; Nay, I cannot confess my Sins, but my very Confessions are still Aggravations to them; my Repentings need to be repented of; my Tears want washing, and the very washing of my Tears, need still to be washed over again with the Blood of my Redeemer, *Jesus Christ*. The Lord is our whole Righteousness, we are to go to him as poor Sinners. Beg Salvation of him as his *free Gift*, and entreat him to give us a living Faith, whereby that Righteousness may be applied to our Hearts; and then that Faith, if true, will work by Love. This, the Author of the **WHOLE DUTY OF MAN**, was wholly ignorant of, otherwise he would not have laid such a Foundation: and if the Foundation be so bad, judge you how wretchedly-

-weak, the Superstructure must be. It would  
 take more Time than I can at present spare, to  
 point out all the Mistakes of the whole Book:  
 I will only refer you to what this Author says  
 about Recreations, Sunday, 19th, Sec. 7th,  
 wherein he seems not only to allow of Gaming,  
 by saying, *if we play at any Game*, but di-  
 rects us when we do it, to let *the End of our doing*  
*it be meerly to recreate ourselves.* I thought the  
 Glory of God, and not *self-pleasing*, had been  
 the Principle from which all Christians ought  
 to act. I suppose from this Passage, a Man whom  
 I reprov'd lately on the Road, for Gaming in  
 the *Christmas Holy-Days*, grounded his Autho-  
 rity for so doing: He told me, *the Whole Duty*  
*of Man* said, he might do so. And indeed I be-  
 lieve he is not the first by thousands, who have  
 been miserably deceived, by this much applau-  
 ded Book: And therefore I thought myself ob-  
 liged to write this Letter. You may make  
 what Use you will of it. I care not if the Con-  
 tents are published upon the House Top. Nay,  
 I think it your Duty, if convinced yourself, to  
 endeavour to convince others; for there's no Hopes  
 of bringing People to a right Knowledge of the  
 Gospel, till their Favourite, tho' erroneous, Au-  
 thors are discountenanc'd and laid aside. Before  
 I see *England*, I hope I shall hear that my dear  
 Friends in the Ministry, both in preaching and  
 printing

printing, have seconded my Testimony. Future Ages as well as the present, will be much beholden to them for it. Their Declarations will stand as Records that God did not leave himself without Witness, even in the Worst of times. Other Gospel-Ministers will be much encourag'd thereby; and thousands, nay, ten thousands preserved from Infection, which otherwise perhaps they may not be able avoid. However, whether they second me or not, by the Help of my God, both abroad and at Home, (if it be the Divine Will I should see *England* again) I will persevere in bearing a more explicate and particular Testimony against the false Writings of our admired *Rabbies*. Do you and my other Friends watch over me; reprove me sharply whenever you perceive my Spirit embitter'd. Pray that I may be as meek as a Lamb, and as bold as a Lion; and then whatever befalls me for speaking as I ought to speak, through divine Grace shall be chearfully submitted to by

*Your affectionate Friend  
and Servant  
in Christ.*

GEORGE WHITEFIELD.



*[The page contains faint, illegible text from the reverse side of the leaf.]*

1. The first of these is the fact that the  
 2. the second is the fact that the  
 3. the third is the fact that the  
 4. the fourth is the fact that the  
 5. the fifth is the fact that the  
 6. the sixth is the fact that the  
 7. the seventh is the fact that the  
 8. the eighth is the fact that the  
 9. the ninth is the fact that the  
 10. the tenth is the fact that the

1870

1950

